G R S IX-5]

Previous references

Received

1922.

(Municipal)

File No 23-21

Serial Nos. 29, 30, 43, 50, 66-84

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 29, 30, 43 50, 66 & 84

Part B for March 1922

SUBJECT

Petition from A.M. Rajagopal Mudaliar, late Mc Engineer Mysore alg. Complaining that the lease of the vacant Extension has been given to Mr Mahomed Yusuf and that his application for grant of a house to enjoy the frontage of his house in Lazar Rao Road etc remain unsolved

To The Secretary to Govt
" Public Department
" Bangalore Division alg. Municipality

Order No. 1S703-12

Directed that no officer of Govt lent to Mc or local bodies in the State should, in future be allowed to acquire immovable property within their jurisdiction without the express sanction of Govt.

WD 1629—GPB—16,000—23-7-21

G R S 11] 1921

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(M)

NOTES

M File No 23-21

FILE HEADING

Petitions from Mysore City

From

Mr A Rajagopala Mudaliar, Late Asst Engineer Mysore City

No. Petn Dated 24-9-21 Received 29-9-21

Diary No 1971	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No 29

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

complains that his bungalow site near Edaga Extension has been given to Mr Md Peer and that he petitions for the grant of a fair increase to improve the frontage of his house on Sampagi Rao Road and for the grant of the vacant site to the South of his building have remained undisposed of for the last one year.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Dewans order on the petition

A report should be called for from the Chairman, Trust Board, Mysore"

2. Draft submd

3 Issue

30/9/21

3/10/21

3/10

NOTES—First Sheet

3141

2

R. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 23 of 19 21

Serial No.	
30	To the Chairman City Imp Trust Board Mysore No L 2241 & 10/10/21 forwards the f/n for report.
42	From Do No 240 of 29-11-21 Submits the report called for in Sl 30.
	4 Submd with ref to para 1 above (DB 3/12/21 Revenue) dsg 3/12/21
	5 Submd for perusal (Dewan) DB 5 12 21
	6. The Chairman submits that City municipal engineer who are also consulting engineers for the Board should not be allowed to do private work for the Board which is likely to lead to a conflict between their duties as consulting engineers & their duties as Executive Officers of the Board. Under the rules of business as framed & agreed to by Govt, Chiefs in charge of departments are responsible for the proper conduct of business in their respective departments. Chairman 13/12/21

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on DEC 5 1921 No. 83

REVENUE SECRETARIAT 2 DEC 192 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

NOTE—Contn sheet

Draft/please

R. S III-2]

3141 526 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 23 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	Draft Sanction about the Rule proposed as the latter will be further worked up after the draft is approved and issued
	Separate note Noted 23/12/21
	Secy & Revenue 14/12/21
	16/12
50	To, The Chairman, Trust Board Mysore No d 3653/d 22 12 21 Enquires why eligible Sites are sold for an upset price to the officers of the Board instead of being Auctioned
66	From the same Officer No. 628/1-2-22 - States in reply that his recommendation is in accordance with G.O. No 8055-8 dt 31-12-8 (Vide) for orders with reference thereto 27/1/22 The order of 31st Dec 1918 refers to Special Cases as for example from Honorary Secretary, Mysore will 28/2 Please note how the case of a similar grant of site to the Health Officer of the Bangalore Municipality stands and link that file to this 28/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5226 4

G R. S III 2,

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 23 of 1924

Serial No

13 The explanation of the Local authorities concerned in the transaction was called for and it is still awaited from the Head President to the Corporation

2.3.22

2/3 Whether the reports called for in the linked file from the President of the Bangalore City Municipality may be awaited before a draft circular is put up is a point for orders

Rev Secy) 15 A question whether may be the draft, independently of this pending case, A reminder should issue in the linked file

3/3/22

4/3

The file is detached for issuing a reminder as directed

16 A draft circular is submitted for approval

8.3.22

17) Please put up a clean copy as revised at once

18/3/22

18 A clean copy of the draft is submitted for approval

19

18.3.22

Rev Secy)

18/3/22

NOTE—Contn shee

5726

5

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 23 of 19 24

Serial No.

draft circular prohibiting Govt. officers & local boards from acquiring immovable properties within their jurisdiction as per orders in para 6 supra, for approval.

Dewan

Approved as revised

2

N B 20/3

Issue

DCS

84 To, The Deputy Commissioners of Districts Presidents of Bangalore and Mysore Cantonment Councils

No LS 703-12/d 31 3 22

Directs that no officers of Government should in future be allowed to acquire immovable property within their jurisdiction without the express sanction of Govt

B

REVENUE & AGRI. 5 LEGAL SEC. ANTI BRANCH 1922 MAIL

OFFICIAL

MAR 20 1922

4912

NOTE—Contn sheet

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIATE
1971
29 SEP 1924
LOCAL SELF GOVT.
BRANCH.

To

Rajaseva Thurena Sir, V. Kanthrajaurs Esquire,

K.C.I.E. C.S.I.

Dewan Of Mysore,

M Y S O R E

Respected Sir,

I, a citizen of Mysore, beg to bring the following few lines for your kind and favourable disposal.

(1) When I was Muncipal Engineer, Mysore City, during 1918 Mr. B. Subbarao, the then Chairman of the City Improvement Trust Board Mysore, had granted me a Bangalow site near Ediga extention and published in the Gezette. After the present Chairman Mr. C. Sreekanteswara Iyer took charge of the Trust Board, he took back the site and favoured to Mr Mahamad Peer, present Chief Officer of Mysore. I was neither informed of this nor given a separate site in place of the one taken back.

(2) I have been submitting petitions one after another for the past one year to grant me licence to improve the frontage of my house No. 1684. Sayajee Rao Road and also to favour me with the vacant site to the South of my building for improving the appearance of the surroundings. Not a single one of the petitions has been replied to till now

I beg that you will kindly take my humble grevances and sufferings into your honours consideration and arrange for redress thereof.

Late Muncipal Engineer,
Chamnal Mutt Street,
Mysore.

Dated
Krishnarajasagar

(7

G R S VI—6/7]

DRAFT

SUBJECT . . . . . .

. . . . . .

Section M
File No 23-21
No L. 2241 / Enve Serial No 30

Diary No of letter replied to 1971

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* *191*

Date of issue 10.10.1921

Signed by M.C. Subbaraya

The accompanying Petition Regis
tered No 1971 ~~dated~~ 1921-22
~~191~~, received
~~at the General and Revenue Secretariat~~
from Mr A Rajagopala Murthy
late Asst Engineer, Mysore city
complaining that his
site near Lodge Extension
has been given to Mr.
Mahomad Peer etc
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ with compliments,
to the Chairman, Mysore city
Improvement Trust Board, for disposal
with a very early report
to

By Order,

for Secretary to Government
Rev Dept

PTO

(1

ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ

ಸಹಿ/-

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಗಣ್ಯಮಹಶ್ಚೀನತೆ

ಇಂದಾರ್ಸ ಮೊನಸಂ

ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು.

ವಿಷಯ:- ನೀವು ಗುಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಂತೆ

ಮೊಳ್ಳಮಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯವನ್ನು ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ರವರ ಅಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1258—GPB—5,000—21 I 16

(8

No. 46 26749
Enc Euro

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD, MYSORE

Date 29th November 1921
30

REVENUE & JUDICIAL DEPTT.
L.3141
1 DEC 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH.

From

RAJASABHABHUSHANA, DIWAN BAHADUR,
C SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B A, B L,
CHAIRMAN, CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

To

D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire., B.A ,
Secretary, to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department

Sir

With reference to your letter No.2241/M1 23-21-30 dated the 10th October 1921 forwarding petition Registered No 1971 of 21-22 from Mr A. Rajagopala Modaliar, late Municipal Engineer Mysore City, regarding a site in Idga Extension, I have the honour to state as follows—

2 Mr. Rajagopala Mudaliar had applied on 30th July 1918 for a vacant site behind Regimentdar's Bungalow in Idga Extension The matter was placed before the Board in their meeting dated the 10th March 1919, when it was resolved that the plot of ground referred to should be formed into two building sites, after leaving 15' conservancy lane, and that one of the sites may be sold to him But when this plot of ground was inspected with a view to form the sites, it was found not possible to do so, as the alignment of the Sayaji Rao road continuation which was under consideration passed across it, and the aligument had not been finally settled The matter had therefore to be dropped, which Mr Rajagopala Modaliar himself who was the Municipal Engineer, at the time, knew all about it

3. Subsequently, when the aligument of the Sayaji Rao road continuation was finally settled after several trials, it was found that the ground in question permitted of only one building site being formed, the remaining bit situated immediately behind Mr Humza Hussain's Bungalow and for which he had long been applying being too small and unfit for an independent building It had therefore in all fairness, to be added on to his Bungalow

4 As regards the site formed, it was quite unfit for a Hindu habitation in as muchas it was surrounded on all sides by respectable Mohamadan houses and had a Mohamadan burial ground next in juxta position to it on the north which Mr Rajagopala Modaliar knew Therefore, under Trust Board Resolution No.VII dated 10th January 1920 (copy enclosed for reference), the bit behind the bungalow of Mr Humza Hussain was allotted to him subject to the condition of his giving a good frontage and elevation to his Bungalow towards the newly formed Sayaji Rao road and affording sufficient conservancy facilities, and the site formed next to the burial ground was allotted to Mr Mohamed Peer, Chief Officer who possessed no house whatever and was living in a rented building Mr Mahamed Peer has completed his building and is living in it for the last one year All this time there was no complaint from Mr Rajagopala Modaliar who was well aware of all the circumstances referred to above and who was also explained the situation

5 Accordingly he bought another house on the same road in a more eligible locality It was to improve this house he wanted a license which is being dealt with in the Municipal Office and not by the Trust Board which also he knows. This subject also was pending the final aligument of this part of the extension of the Sayaji Rao road which has only been recently settled and a license will be granted

(18)

in due course.

6 The petition received with the letter under reference is herewith returned

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chairman

MRV

Lr to ml 23-21-43 (11)

Extract from the Proceedings of the 6th (Ordinary) meeting of the Board of Trustees for the Improvement of the City of Mysore, held at the Chairman's Office (Rangacharlu Memorial Hall) on Saturday the 10th January 1920 at 4 P.M.

Present

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

C Srikanteswara Aiyar, B A, B.L., Chairman

1. Rao Bahadur M.C.Rangaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
2. Thuruvekere Basavaraja Urs, Esq.,
3. C Narasimhiah, Esq., B.A., B L.,
4. A Krishna Rao, Esq., B A., B L,
5. Dr J V.Karve, M B, D P.H,
6. M S.Krishna Rao, Esq., B A, B.L.,

Absent.

1. R H Campbell, Esq., I C.S., C.I E,
2. Dr A K Pani, L M & S., D.P.H,

x x x

VII Disposal of two bits of lands east of the Sayaji Rao road Extension in Idga Extension-

(i) Resolved that the bit of land, measuring $\frac{150'+74'}{2}$ x 90' adjoining and immediately behind Mr Mir Hamza Hussain's bungalow be tacked on to it as requested by him in his application dated 25th June 1919, at 6 annas per square yard, on condition that he gives his bungalow a good facing and elevation towards this important Road and needful conservancy facilities.

(ii) Resolved also that the bit of land, measuring $\frac{246'+150'}{2}$ X 120' to the north of the above mentioned site be sold to Mr Mahomed Peer, B.A, at 6 annas per square yard, that he builds a bungalow as per prescribed Rules

Sd C Srikanteswara Aiyar,
Chairman.

"Copy"

2 Chairman

12

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3144

Section M
File No. 23-21
Serial No 50

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191

No. L 3653

Date of issue 22 12 1921

To
The Chairman, Mysore city
Improvement Trust Board

Signed by Sm D C Subbaraya Setty

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 40 of 29-11-21 submitting a Report on the petition of Mr Rajagopala Mudaliar I am directed to enquire why eligible Sites are sold for an upset price

over

(3)

[illegible] and [illegible] to

the officers of the Board

instead of being auctioned publicly

I have the

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Mu. 23-21-66

No. 62
Enc

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD, MYSORE

Date 1st February 1922

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECTT.
L 5226
10 FEB 1922

From

RAJASABHABHUSHANA, DIWAN BAHADUR,
C SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B.A., B.L.,
CHAIRMAN, CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

To

C Venkata Rao, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 3653/Ml 23-21-50 dated the 22nd December 1921 regarding the site sold to Mr Mohamed Peer in Idga Extension, I have the honour to state that in many cases, Government Officers are provided with Government Residential Quarters, and in respect of big Cities like Mysore, Bangalore etc , House Building Advances are being granted to Government Officers to facilitate the building of quarters for themselves In-asmuch-as it seemed to be the intention of Government to afford all possible facilities to their officers to provide themselves with houses and especially in a City like this where houses are so difficult and rare to secure, it was considered that the concession to sell sites at upset prices as per examples cited in Government Order No 8055-6/Ml 137-18-2 dated the 31st December 1918 framing the rules for the disposal of sites might be extended to Mr Mahomed Peer who was bona fide to build for his own dwelling rather than acquire site for speculation

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman

(15)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

S.O.

12 copies

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Ord)

, the 191

Section SD

File No 23-21

Serial No 84

No

Date of issue 31 3 22

Signed by Mr D C S

Order No. L 5703-12

Engineers have come to the notice of Govt of cases in which Officers of Govt working under Local Bodies have been granted Quarrying sites at reserve prices within the area of their jurisdiction without either obtaining the previous sanction of Govt or consulting the Local Bodies concerned. This is in contravention of the rules

16)

Attention of the Hyderabad Bench
and of the standing orders of
Govt. on the subject. Govt cannot
for strongly acknowledge the in-
adequate manner in which cases,
the officers concerned are likely
to take an undue advantage
of their official position in securing
concurrent sites for their use &
endeavour to divert likely applic-
ants for the same.

Govt therefore
desire that the Collector of Guntur
& directing that no officer of Govt
land & land on canal banks in the
District should, in future, be allowed
to acquire immovable property
within their jurisdiction without
the express sanction of Govt.

List of enclosures

21.3

By order &c
Signature

To.

The Collectors of Guntur
Krishna & Nellore.

Copy forwarded for information.

Copied by

Examined by

19-3-42